Oxford GlobalMUN - SHSID
Model United Nations Conference
牛津大学 - 上海中学国际部国际模拟联合国大会

2024

United Nations Climate Change Conference (COP28)

April 4th - 6th, 2024

at Shanghai High School International Division

OxfordGlobal

United Nations Climate
Change Conference
(COP28)
Background guide

Table of contents

Introduction: History of UNFCCC and Global Climate Negotiations

Throughout the 19th and 20th centuries, experiments and research conducted by scientists identified the warming effect that greenhouse gasses such as carbon dioxide could have on the atmosphere. 1988 is widely seen as a turning point, as the hottest summer on record placed global warming directly in the spotlight. During that summer, NASA scientist James Hansen delivered a testimony to the US Congress, saying that NASA was "99% sure" that observed warming was caused by greenhouse gasses, reflecting the scientific consensus.

Following this consensus, in 1988 there was an international agreement for the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) and[i] the United Nations Environment Programme to jointly establish an intergovernmental assessment of the science, impacts and response options of climate change[ii]. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) was established to carry out this assessment and has produced six assessments so far.

The IPCC's first assessment report warned that "emissions resulting from human activities are substantially increasing the atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gasses, resulting in an additional warming of the Earth's surface". This led to widespread calls for an international treaty on climate change and negotiations were launched by the UN General Assembly.

The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change was adopted on 9th May 1992 and ratified by signatories at the UN Conference on Environment and Development (colloquially known as the Earth Summit) in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil in June a month later. The UNFCCC was the first international treaty to explicitly address climate change and has now been ratified by 197 countries. It established an annual conference, known as the Conference of the Parties or COP, for

international negotiations related to limiting greenhouse gas emissions and where countries could take decisions to promote the Convention's implementation.

Two key agreements have followed from negotiations conducted at the last 26 COPs: the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement. The Kyoto Protocol was adopted in 1997 following negotiations at the first series of COPs and committed industrialized countries and economies in the transition to limit and reduce greenhouse gas emissions in accordance with agreed individual targets[iii]. However, it did not include the world's largest and fastest growing economies, excluded developing countries from binding targets, and the US Senate refused to ratify the treaty, due to concerns about the potential loss of manufacturing industries to countries such as China which had no restraints on emissions[iv]. As such, the Protocol has widely seen to have failed in its aim to restrict global greenhouse gas emissions.

Negotiations to craft a successor treaty to the Kyoto Protocol failed at the COP15 Conference in Copenhagen in 2009, due to the difficulty in finding an agreement for legally binding commitments that would include all countries. An alternative approach was needed, which was developed in COP20 and COP21 in Lima and Paris respectively. Instead of negotiating and agreeing on commitments for each country at the UNFCCC Climate Conferences, each country would start by submitting entirely voluntary pledges for how it planned to address climate change; so-called "Nationally Determined Commitments". This formed the basis of the landmark Paris Agreement, signed in 2015 at COP21. The Paris Agreement operates on a 5-year cycle of increasingly ambitious climate action, with new National Determined Contributions required to be submitted during each of these cycles[v]. It also provides a framework for financial, technical, and capacity-building support to countries that require it in order to achieve the economic and social transformation necessary to reduce emissions. The overall goal, adopted by 196 Parties at COP21 in Paris on the 12th of December 2015, is to "limit global warming to well below 2 degrees Celsius, preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius, compared to pre-industrial levels".

Topic A: Tackling 'Greenwashing' and Effective Carbon Offsetting

Statement of the Problem

In recent years, the global community has witnessed an increased urgency to combat climate change by reducing carbon emissions. Carbon offsetting has emerged as a popular approach to mitigate the environmental impact of unavoidable emissions by supporting projects that remove or prevent an equivalent amount of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. Carbon offsets can be divided into two main categories: voluntary (offsets[vi] individuals and companies buy at their discretion) and compliance (used to meet legally binding caps on carbon emissions). The voluntary carbon-offsets market lists 3,800+ projects and is expected to grow from around $2 billion in 2022 to about $100 billion in 2030. However, despite the growing popularity of carbon offsetting, there exists a critical challenge in ensuring the effectiveness of such initiatives. This challenge stems from a lack of standardized methodologies, consistent metrics, and transparent implementation practices, which collectively undermine the credibility and impact of carbon offsetting efforts.

The primary problem to be addressed revolves around the ambiguity and variability in the carbon offsetting landscape. The absence of universally accepted guidelines for project evaluation, carbon credit pricing, and emissions reduction calculations has led to a proliferation of offsetting projects with varying levels of quality and legitimacy. This situation has raised concerns about 'greenwashing,' where projects may not deliver the anticipated environmental benefits, ultimately hindering progress towards meaningful climate action.

Coined in 1986, 'Greenwashing' is when an organisation purports to be environmentally conscious for marketing purposes, but actually does not make any notable sustainability efforts. By spending more time and money on marketing itself as environmentally friendly than on actually minimizing environmental impact, greenwashing is an incredibly harmful facet of the ongoing environmental crisis that should be addressed. This issue is incredibly pervasive: 68% of US executives admit

their companies are guilty of greenwashing and two-thrids[vii] of executives globally questioned whether their company's sustainability efforts were genuine. Greenwashing as a term is beyond just a marketing gimmick intended to mislead consumers—it is a way for large institutions to shift the blame of the climate crisis onto individuals, rather than recognise it as a systemic issue.

History of the Problem

The history of carbon offsets can be traced back to the late 20th century, with the emergence of environmental awareness and growing concerns about climate change. As environmental understanding deepened, formal mechanisms for emissions reduction and offsetting were introduced. In 1997, the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM[viii]) was established as part of the Kyoto Protocol, allowing developed countries to invest in emissions reduction projects in developing nations as a way to offset their own emissions. Soon after in the 2000s, voluntary carbon offset markets emerged, enabling individuals,[ix] corporations, and organisations to purchase carbon credits to compensate for emissions voluntarily. In particular, in 2007, a common form of carbon offsetting, Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD+), gained prominence as a mechanism to offset emissions through forest conservation and restoration[x].

Following the global adoption of the Paris Agreement in 2015[xi] to combat climate change, many nations, as a part of this agreement, recognised the role of carbon markets and carbon offsetting to achieve nationally determined contributions (NDCs[xii]) to limit global warming. Over time, certification standards have emerged to improve the quality and credibility of carbon offset projects. Standards including Verified Carbon Standard (VCS) and the Gold Standard set criteria[xiii][xiv] for projects to ensure their additionality, verifiability, and impact on emissions reduction.

However, despite these steps, there are numerous examples of exploiting carbon offsetting. Many industries as a whole also engage in exploiting carbon offsetting. Several major airlines have

introduced carbon offset programs that allow passengers to voluntarily offset the emissions from their flights by purchasing carbon credits. While these programs appear to offer an avenue for individuals to mitigate their travel-related emissions[xv], critics argue that the scale of the offset projects often falls short of addressing the aviation industry's significant carbon footprint. United CEO has even been reported saying that "Most carbon-offset[xvi] programs are 'fraud'". The focus on individual responsibility might divert attention from the need for systemic changes within the airline industry. Some large corporations have been criticized for engaging in token offset projects that do not correspond proportionally[xvii] to their overall emissions. These projects can include small-scale tree planting or renewable energy initiatives that are not commensurate with the corporations' actual carbon footprints.

The concept of greenwashing, a term coined by environmentalist Jay Westerveld, gains prominence in such instances.[xviii] Westerveld's critical essay initially addressed the irony of the "save the towel" movement in the late '80s. At that time, many hotels introduced place cards in bathrooms, urging guests to reuse towels for ostensible environmental conservation. However, Westerveld's keen observation highlighted the inconsistency as hotels continued to squander resources in other aspects of their operations, such as dining[xix], cleaning, and toiletry disposal. It became evident that the "save the towel" initiative was more about saving the corporation's expenses rather than genuinely benefiting the environment. This historical context underscores the enduring relevance of avoiding token efforts and superficial actions – a concern that resonates deeply in contemporary discussions surrounding carbon offsetting and sustainable practices.

There are other notable examples of such greenwashing. One of the most infamous cases of greenwashing involved the German automaker Volkswagen. In an attempt to portray its diesel vehicles as environmentally friendly, VW equipped its cars with software that could recognise when emission tests were occurring and then would manipulate said tests to show lower levels of harmful pollutants. This scandal, known as "Diesegate[xx]", exposed the discrepancy between VW's

claims of eco-friendliness and the actual emissions output of its vehicles, resulting in massive fines, recalls, legal action, and significant damage to the company's reputation.

Starbucks recently came under scrutiny for what appears to be a case of greenwashing. The company introduced a so-called "straw-less[xxi]" lid as an environmentally friendly alternative for their takeaway cups, replacing the conventional straw. Starbucks' Chief Sustainability Officer stated that this move was part of their efforts to reduce their ecological footprint in the US and Canada. However, a significant discrepancy was uncovered: the new lid contained more plastic than the previous combination of lid and straw. Although the lid was crafted from recyclable polypropylene, doubts arose about the efficacy of recycling, as only approximately 9% of the world's plastic is reportedly recycled. Critics argue that this change might actually contribute to an increase in plastic waste in landfills, highlighting the complexities of genuine sustainability efforts.

Current Situation

Carbon offsetting remains a tool for organizations and individuals to take immediate action to compensate for their carbon emissions. However, numerous cases of illegitimate offsetting are beginning to emerge due to a lack of regulation. In January[xxii] of this year, it was revealed that more than 90% of the REDD+ carbon credits from the world's largest offsetting standard actually have no positive impact on the climate. As a result, questions are being raised around four key principles that determine whether or not an offset is an effective offset:

Additionality: Does buying this offset lead to a reduction of greenhouse gas emissions that would not have occurred otherwise? If you pay for an offset for a wind farm that is already in construction to offset a coal plant, this chunk of carbon offset[xxiii] would have occurred without your contribution anyways. However, paying for an offset to prevent deforestation would *add* to the overall reduction of emissions.

Permanence: Does this offset permanently reduce offsetting? While reforestation is a popular offset, as we have seen with forest fires around the world, the carbon stored in these plants can be quickly released due to natural disasters. This is why more permanent solutions such as turning CO_2 into rocks[xxiv] have become popular.

Double-counting: When someone purchases on offset, this emission reduction should not be counted towards another entity's offset reduction. A critical example of this is some nations want more leeway in counting nature preservation towards[xxv] their own targets, whilst selling the offsets produced by said preservation to other nations.

Leakage: Does implementing this offset just cause the emitter to relocate? Leakage can occur when large sources of emissions, such as factories[xxvi], farms, and power plants, are banned in one region, and instead of altering their environmental impacts, they simply relocate to less regulated areas. This has led to calls for more rigorous standards and verification processes for carbon offset projects, particularly factoring in these features. Moreover, many critics argue that relying solely on carbon offsetting can be a form of "greenwashing" if it is used to divert attention from the need for direct emissions reductions.

In addition to larger greenwashing schemes, many organisations are engaging in the practice in other, more subtler ways. Products labelled as "natural," "green," or "eco-friendly" might have packaging that implies environmental benefits, but the actual product inside may not be significantly different from conventional alternatives. This is especially true for products that feature logos or certifications that may suggest[xxvii] environmental friendliness, without actual evidence of third-party verification. Brands are also becoming increasingly bolder with how they deploy greenwashing: using catchy slogans to imply commitments to sustainability or using green as the dominant color in brand packaging. Moreover, by being intentionally vague with terms such as "eco-conscious", "green", or "planet-friendly", firms can provide limited and incomplete

information making it harder for consumers to determine if they are authentic or not. According to Packaging Digest, 98% of products now are affected[xxviii] by greenwashing.

This scope goes beyond just packaging and is being actively employed: a recent report analyzing the responses of 1,491 C-Suite or Vice President-level executives at global corporations saw that 58% of these executives actively engaged in greenwashing, with the number being as high as 72% in regions like North America[xxix]. However, the actual follow-through of developed environmental claims is nonexistent: only 36% told researchers that their companies have measurement tools or methods to track progress in detail [xxx].

Greenwashing erodes consumer trust in both the specific brand engaged in deceptive marketing and the broader industry. When consumers feel deceived by false claims, they may become skeptical of other companies' environmental claims, making it harder for genuinely responsible companies to earn their trust. According to Harvard Business Review, less than one in four consumers trust the brands they purchase from[xxxi]. Companies engaged in greenwashing divert attention and resources away from genuinely sustainable practices. Consumers might feel less motivated to support authentic eco-friendly initiatives when they have encountered deceptive marketing tactics in the past. Greenwashing undermines the overall progress towards sustainability. When consumers support companies based on deceptive claims, there is less incentive for industries to invest in and prioritize genuinely sustainable practices.

Some criticisms of carbon offsetting

Carbon offsetting, while often presented as a promising strategy to mitigate the impacts of climate change, has been met with several notable criticisms that raise concerns about its effectiveness, transparency, and potential unintended consequences. A significant concern lies in the integrity and verification of offset projects. The lack of standardized methodologies and inconsistent monitoring practices often make it challenging[xxxii] to accurately quantify the actual emissions reductions achieved by offset initiatives. This opacity not only hampers the credibility of offsetting but also makes it difficult for consumers, businesses, and regulatory bodies to assess the true environmental impact of their offset purchases.

Furthermore, the geographical distribution and social implications of offset projects have drawn criticism. A considerable number of offset projects are located in developing countries, raising concerns about environmental and social justice. Critics argue that these projects can sometimes lead to land grabbing, displacement of local communities[xxxiii], and the disruption of traditional livelihoods, ultimately exacerbating social inequalities and injustices.

Additionally, the effectiveness of certain offset project types has been called into question. For example, forestry-based projects, such as reforestation and afforestation, are criticized for their long-term viability and permanence[xxxiv]. The potential for trees to be harvested, damaged by natural events, or to release stored carbon later on diminishes the assurance that these projects will lead to enduring emissions reductions.

The financial incentive provided by carbon offsetting may also divert attention and resources away from more meaningful emissions reduction strategies. Critics argue that relying on offsetting can create a false sense of accomplishment, enabling high-emission industries to continue their activities without making substantive changes to their operations.[xxxv]

Lastly, the voluntary nature of many offset markets has led to a lack of regulation and oversight. This has allowed the proliferation of offset credits that are difficult[xxxvi] to authenticate and often do not meet rigorous standards for emissions reduction. This "wild west" atmosphere in the voluntary offset market further undermines the credibility of offsetting efforts. A UN Environment official has stated the following when addressing the slow impact of carbon offsetting:

"One of the reasons offsets haven't been sold is because the Kyoto Protocol and Paris Agreement are non-enforceable. The main procurers of offsets are supposed[xxxvii] to be nations trying to meet the targets they promised to meet. If the nations of the G20, responsible for 81 per cent of total emissions, are to meet targets, offsets remain an important mechanism for them unless they manage a 45 per cent emissions reduction on their own (which would be fantastic)."

Relevant UN Actions

The UNFCCC has been actively engaged in negotiations concerning Article 6[xxxviii] of the Paris Agreement, a pivotal component focusing on market mechanisms and international collaboration. This initiative aims to establish unambiguous regulations for international carbon markets, with the primary goal of thwarting greenwashing. By ensuring that carbon offset projects genuinely result in tangible and additional emissions reductions, these rules safeguard the integrity of environmental efforts. In particular, they stated that removal activities were not recommended as they are "removal activities are "technologically and economically unproven, especially at scale, and pose unknown environmental and social risks'[xxxix]"", thus removing such initiatives to be considered as carbon offsetting. Moreover, the United Nations has developed the Carbon Offset Platform, an e-commerce platform w[xl]here any organization or citizen can purchase carbon credits to compensate for greenhouse gas emissions. This platform also displays various UNFCCC-certified climate friendly projects that are rewarded with[xli] Certified Emission Reductions (CERs). To support nations, particularly those in the developing world, the UN employs its diverse agencies and programs to facilitate capacity-building endeavors.

In tandem with the UNFCCC various UN entities, including the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), actively champion sustainable finance practices. These undertakings encourage financial institutions to invest exclusively in projects that substantively contribute to emissions reductions and sustainable growth. The influential "Climate Neutral Now[xlii]" campaign spearheaded by the UN mobilizes individuals, businesses, and governments to comprehensively gauge, curtail, and offset their carbon emissions by galvanizing genuine awareness and impactful actions, the campaign effectively deters superficial efforts and combats greenwashing.

The UN Environment Programme Finance Initiative's groundbreaking[xliii] launch of the Principles for Responsible Banking presents a significant stride. This transformative initiative exhorts banks to harmonize their business strategies with the Sustainable Development Goals and the Paris Agreement, thereby promoting a culture of transparency and accountability concerning financial institutions' climate commitments. Additionally, the UN Global Compact[xliv] plays an instrumental role by encouraging businesses to align their operations with sustainable practices and climate targets. By advocating transparency and responsible corporate conduct, the UN plays a pivotal role in curtailing instances of greenwashing within the private sector.

However, despite these initiatives, delegates should recognise that carbon offsets are not a catch-all for climate action: "UN Environment supports carbon offsets[xlv] as a temporary measure leading up to 2030, and a tool for speeding up climate action,"

Bloc Positions

African States

African countries often prioritize sustainable development, environmental protection, and climate resilience. At COP27, the new Africa Carbon Markets Initiative, or ACMI, was inaugurated, aiming to support the growth of carbon credit production[xlvi] and to support 30 million jobs by 2030. Many African countries are vulnerable to the impacts of climate change, such as droughts and

desertification. Therefore, they might advocate for stricter regulations against greenwashing and emphasize the importance of effective carbon offsetting to support both environmental conservation and economic growth.

Asia-Pacific States

Countries in the Asia-Pacific region have diverse economies and environmental challenges. While the region contains five of the 10 largest[xlvii] global carbon emitters, it is also a region with almost every nation under environmental threat due to rising sea levels and extreme weather events. Southeast Asian countries collectively account for 10% of the total global carbon credits. This is a significant percentage, especially when considering that out of the 185 nations across the globe, just eleven of them are responsible for making[xlviii] up such a substantial portion. With regards to greenwashing, Korea is currently leading nations in the region by being the first to introduce fines for misleading[xlix] green credentials. Overall, positions within this bloc may vary, with some countries calling for stronger international cooperation to combat greenwashing, while others might highlight the need for differentiated responsibilities based on historical emissions.

European States

The EEU has created the Emission Trading System or EUETS[l], a cornerstone of the EU's policy to combat climate change. It is the world's first and largest carbon market. Moreover, EU regulations, namely caps on carbon emissions are particularly strict, with many nations in the region committing to net zero by 2050, despite its potential financial repercussions[li]. Lastly, the EU is known for its recent directives on regulating "Green Claims[lii]".

Latin American, South American, and Caribbean States

Many countries in this bloc have rich biodiversity and face challenges like deforestation and threats to ecosystems. As such, there is strong momentum in this region, particularly within Latin American carbon markets, where seven nationwide carbon taxes have been established. This

region has the second-highest number of subnational jurisdictions[liii] with pledges for net-zero, and many countries have comprehensive carbon marketplaces.

North American States

Western countries often take a leading role in advocating for stringent environmental regulations and addressing climate change, despite having little to no regulations on carbon emissions and limited voluntary carbon trading[liv] schemes. When evaluating greenwashing, however, the US has enacted some regulatory guidelines surrounding unethical marketing practices within its Federal Trade Commission[lv]. Some countries in this bloc, like the USA and Canada, could promote technological innovation as a solution to climate challenges. However, these states are also historically some of the largest contributors to the impending climate crisis.

Middle Eastern States

Saudi Arabia launched the Middle East's first carbon offset auction in 2022, with the hopes of increasing carbon offsetting in the region. Following this, the Saudi Arabian government launched the Public Investment Fund[lvi] to establish an official exchange platform for offsets for Gulf Coast Countries. Beyond this, many GCC lack previous action, and are often caught greenwashing [lvii].

Please note that these positions are generalized, and individual member countries within each regional bloc may have nuanced perspectives based on their specific circumstances and national interests. In a Model UN setting, delegates should conduct in-depth research on their assigned countries' policies and positions to accurately represent their bloc's stance during debates and negotiations.

Questions a Resolution Must Answer

- How is "greenwashing" defined within the context of the resolution?

- What standards and criteria should be established to assess the legitimacy and effectiveness of carbon offset projects? How can verification processes be standardized to prevent double-counting and ensure transparency?

- How will the resolution ensure consistent regulatory oversight of carbon offset initiatives? What mechanisms can be put in place to prevent false claims and enforce penalties for deceptive practices?

- How can the resolution enhance public awareness regarding greenwashing and empower consumers to make informed choices? What role can governments, industry associations, and educational institutions play in this regard?

- How can the resolution encourage industries and entities to prioritize direct emissions reductions over-reliance on carbon offsetting?

- Are there mechanisms to incentivize innovation and technological advancements that directly decrease carbon emissions?

Suggestions for Further Research

Enhanced Standards and Verification Mechanisms: With concerns about the legitimacy of offset projects on the rise, research could delve into the establishment of more rigorous standards and robust verification mechanisms. Exploring methods to ensure the additionality, permanence, absence of double-counting, and prevention of leakage could lead to the creation of standardized criteria that enhance the integrity of offset projects and bolster their credibility.

Holistic Climate Strategies Beyond Offsetting: Research can explore the role of carbon offsetting within broader climate change mitigation strategies. Examining how offsetting aligns with other emissions reduction measures, renewable energy deployment, and sustainable land use practices

can shed light on whether offsetting complements or detracts from more comprehensive climate action plans.

Regulation and Oversight in Voluntary Offset Markets: The voluntary offset market's lack of regulation poses challenges to its effectiveness and credibility. Further research can focus on proposing frameworks for improved oversight, governance, and transparency within the voluntary offset market. Exploring mechanisms to ensure the legitimacy of offset credits and prevent deceptive practices can contribute to strengthening this market segment.

Defining and Quantifying Greenwashing: Further research can focus on refining and quantifying the definitions of greenwashing across different sectors and industries. Delving into the various tactics and strategies used by companies to mislead consumers about their environmental practices can provide clarity on the spectrum of deceptive marketing practices. Developing standardized metrics to quantify the prevalence and impact of greenwashing can help assess the extent of the problem on a global scale.

Corporate Accountability and Reporting: Exploring mechanisms to enhance corporate accountability and transparency is crucial. Research can delve into the potential of mandatory reporting standards for environmental claims, similar to financial reporting requirements. Evaluating the effectiveness of existing reporting frameworks and their alignment with sustainable business practices can guide efforts to foster more responsible corporate behavior.

Topic B: Natural Disasters, Early Warning Systems, and Mitigating Food Insecurity

Statement of the Problem

In an increasingly interconnected and vulnerable world, the convergence of natural disasters, the effectiveness of early warning systems, and the exacerbation of food insecurity presents a multifaceted challenge that demands urgent attention. Natural disasters, ranging from hurricanes and floods to droughts and earthquakes, have increased[lviii] five-fold over the last 50 years, mainly due to climate change.

These disasters not only disrupt local ecosystems and infrastructure but also have cascading effects on individuals through food production, distribution, and access. Within the last year, extreme weather events displaced 30 million people[lix] - 3 times more than violence or conflict. Coupled with this, the efficacy of early warning systems, designed to provide advance notice of impending disasters, remains uneven across regions and often falls short in effectively preventing loss of life and property. According to the Center for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters, natural disasters affect 218 million people annually, 68,000 of[lx] whom lose their lives as a result of these disasters. This number skyrockets in regions [lxi]with low-to-middle income, as they typically lack the infrastructure to protect and react to disasters. Moreover, over 1 in every 5 individuals[lxii] have no emergency funds to respond to damages after natural disasters. Consequently, the confluence of these factors amplifies the vulnerability of populations to food insecurity, where access to sufficient, safe, and nutritious food becomes increasingly tenuous. More than 80% of the world's hungriest people live in regions with high levels of environmental degradation or natural disasters[lxiii].

All three of these issues are underscored by a series of interrelated challenges. Firstly, the intensification of natural disasters and food insecurity due to climate change amplifies vulnerable communities. Vulnerability is exacerbated in low-income countries and marginalised

communities, both of which typically have limited ability to adapt. Secondly, is the rise of early warning gaps in small island developing states and developing regions[lxiv].

Despite numerous advancements in technology, the effectiveness of early warning systems drastically varies, impacting individuals with insufficient warning tools. Thirdly, is the lack of integration. Typical solutions to each of the threats posed by climate change are siloed, meaning that efforts to tackle the problem as a whole are usually lacklustre[lxv]. This lack of communication, integration, and interconnectedness limits a single agency's ability to be effective. Moreover, since natural landscapes transcend political boundaries, and harmful climate actions such as burning fossil fuels unequally affect different regions of the world, having a more holistic and equity-based approach to climate change may prove the most effective. Building on this point, the majority of current solutions are relative reactions and short-term in nature. This committee should place emphasis on long-term resilience and adaptive capacity to aid communities in coping with future threats.

History of the Problem

The history of natural disasters, early warning systems, and food insecurity is intertwined with human efforts to mitigate the impacts of environmental challenges. Below is an overview of their historical development:

Natural Disasters

Throughout history, natural disasters such as earthquakes, floods, hurricanes, and droughts have shaped human civilizations. Ancient cultures[lxvi] often attributed these events to divine wrath or supernatural forces. Over time, societies began to recognize patterns and develop local coping mechanisms. However, systematic disaster management emerged more recently. In the 19th century, the Industrial Revolution brought about urbanization and population growth, increasing vulnerability to disasters[lxvii]. Early responses were primarily reactive, focusing on rescue and recovery.

Disaster management as a field of study and practice, however, did not emerge until the 20th century. Events like the 1931 China floods[lxviii] and the 1972 Buffalo Creek disaster [lxix]highlighted the need for systematic planning and response and prompted the creation of the field. In the 21st century, advances in technology and communication have improved disaster prediction, response, and recovery. Integrated disaster risk reduction (DRR)[lxx] approaches aim to minimize vulnerabilities and enhance resilience through community involvement, policy frameworks, and international cooperation.

Early Warning Systems

Early warning systems (EWS) play a crucial role in mitigating the impact of disasters, and their evolution has been remarkable over the years. Prior to the 20th century, EWS were primarily informal, relying on local observations, folklore, and even animal behavior to predict impending disasters. [lxxi]The earliest appearance of what modern day EWS would be emerged at the end of the 18th century, where the fire bell was invented to alarm town residents[lxxii] in case of a fire. The start of the 20th century brought about a significant transformation with the advent of technology,

mainly due to WWI and WWII. This era witnessed the emergence of more sophisticated EWS, facilitated by meteorological agencies[lxxiii], seismology centers, and tsunami warning systems that expanded on a global scale.

As the 21st century unfolded, EWS continued to evolve, becoming even more effective and comprehensive. Modern EWS seamlessly integrates data from various sources, including meteorological, geological, and hydrological data. This integration is made possible by significant advancements in satellite technology, weather forecasting capabilities, and communication infrastructure, resulting in the rapid dissemination of highly accurate warnings to vulnerable communities, ultimately contributing to enhanced disaster preparedness and response.[lxxiv]

Food Insecurity:

Food insecurity, a persistent issue affecting humanity for centuries, has been intricately linked to natural disasters and environmental variables. During[lxxv] the Colonial Era, the advent of European colonization brought about disruptive resource extraction practices that dismantled local food systems, resulting in widespread food insecurity within colonized regions. In the Modern Era, significant strides were made through technological advancements in agriculture, exemplified by the Green Revolution[lxxvi], which bolstered food production capabilities. Nonetheless, the specter of food insecurity continues to loom, driven by an intricate interplay of factors including poverty, inequality, conflicts, and environmental adversities[lxxvii].

As the 21st Century unfolds, global efforts like the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) have been marshaled to combat hunger and enhance food security[lxxviii] on a worldwide scale. However, the evolving challenge of climate change and its profound influence on agricultural landscapes introduces new complexities that necessitate innovative solutions to safeguard food access and availability for vulnerable populations. As of today[lxxix], anywhere between 720 and 811 million people around the world face hunger.

Current Situation

Firstly, the intensification of natural disasters and food insecurity due to climate change amplifies vulnerable communities. This exacerbation of vulnerabilities is particularly acute in low-income countries and marginalized communities, compounding their existing challenges and leaving them disproportionately affected. Climate change acts as a magnifying lens, heightening the impacts of natural disasters and intensifying the threat of food insecurity in these regions.

Vulnerability is exacerbated in low-income countries and marginalized communities, both of which typically have limited ability to adapt. These communities often lack the economic resources, infrastructure, and social safety nets to effectively respond to the compounding impacts of climate change. In many cases, their livelihoods are intricately tied to climate-sensitive sectors such as agriculture, fisheries, and forestry[lxxx]. Thus, any disruption caused by extreme weather events or shifts in precipitation patterns can have profound ripple effects on their wellbeing.

For instance, in agricultural-based economies, a sudden drought or flood can decimate crops, leaving farmers without income[lxxxi] and households without food. The inability to adapt swiftly due to limited access to technology, financial resources, and education further exacerbates their vulnerability. This creates a cycle of poverty and instability, as the impacts of climate change continuously erode their capacity to recover from disasters. This linkage is proving to be detrimental: the World Bank estimates that the effects of climate change could push an additional 100 million individuals into poverty by the end of this decade[lxxxii].

Moreover, marginalized communities, including indigenous populations and those residing in informal settlements, often face social exclusion, discrimination, and lack of access to basic services[lxxxiii]. These structural inequalities compound the challenges they face in times of disaster. Limited access to information, decision-making processes, and resources restricts their ability to effectively prepare for and respond to emergencies.

Secondly, the rise of early warning gaps in small island developing states and developing regions has emerged as a critical concern in the context of natural disaster preparedness and mitigation. Small island developing states (SIDS) and developing regions are particularly vulnerable to the impacts of climate change and natural disasters[lxxxiv] due to their geographical location, limited infrastructure, and economic constraints. These regions often lack the sophisticated technological infrastructure required for robust early warning systems. The consequences of these gaps are far-reaching and often devastating. For instance, a Small Island Developing State in the Caribbean, Dominica, lost an equivalent of 240% of its GDP[lxxxv] in the 2017 Atlantic season.

Thirdly, the absence of integration stands out as a significant barrier when addressing the intricate tapestry of climate change, natural disasters, and food insecurity. Conventional solutions tend to operate in isolation, targeting specific elements of the challenge at hand. However, this compartmentalized approach limits the ability to holistically address the multifaceted nature of these intertwined issues[lxxxvi]. While disaster relief organizations might concentrate on immediate response, agricultural agencies may focus solely on boosting agricultural productivity. Such isolated efforts overlook the underlying links between climate change, natural disasters, and food security that demand comprehensive solutions. An integrated approach recognizes that vulnerabilities to climate change and its impacts are interconnected[lxxxvii], requiring solutions that consider the broader context. For instance, disaster preparedness must incorporate climate-resilient agricultural practices, and food security initiatives should account for the potential disruptions caused by extreme weather events.

Expanding on this point, the majority of current solutions are relative reactions and short-term in nature. Often, the responses to climate-driven challenges, natural disasters, and food insecurity are characterized by their reactive nature. These solutions primarily focus on mitigating immediate impacts, providing relief, and restoring normalcy following an event. While these interventions are essential for addressing acute needs, they fall short in addressing the underlying causes and preparing communities for the escalating[lxxxviii] risks posed by climate change.

This committee should place emphasis on long-term resilience and adaptive capacity to aid communities in coping with future threats[lxxxix]. Rather than being confined to addressing the aftermath of crises, a forward-looking approach necessitates investments in building resilience and bolstering adaptive capacity. Communities, particularly those most vulnerable, must be equipped with the tools, knowledge, and resources to withstand and recover from climate-related shocks. This involves a strategic shift from reactive relief efforts towards proactive measures that anticipate and minimize the impacts of future disasters.

Some criticisms of EWS

There are some criticisms of early warning systems. Since such systems can be prone to false alarms, this can lead to complacency among populations over time. If frequent warnings turn out to be incorrect or if the consequences are not as severe as anticipated, individuals may begin to ignore warnings, wrestling in a phenomenon referred to as "alarm fatigue[xc]". Despite this, early warning systems are still a critical element of disaster management.

A recent study found that "An early warning system that gives you an excellent chance of being alerted to those twice-in-a-decade earthquakes will likely deliver four warnings that turn out to be false in that same time. It's a small price to pay if you're talking about something where there's a lot of benefit to be had,"[xci]

Even when early warning systems are in place, however, they might not effectively communicate the information to those who need it most. Language barriers, illiteracy, and a lack of culturally sensitive communication can hinder the dissemination of warnings, limiting their impact. In spring of 2022, the majority of those who passed as a result of Hurricane Ida in the U.S. were of Asian descent and spoke limited English. As such, it is theorized that they were unable to escape the storm as they did not receive warnings [xcii].

Early warning systems often rely on technology for data collection, transmission, and dissemination. Technical failures, power outages, or cyberattacks could disrupt these systems, rendering them ineffective precisely when they are needed the most. In the past few years alone, the British[xciii], Australian[xciv], and US governments[xcv] have had early warning systems under threat due to cyber attacks.

Relevant UN Actions

The United Nations has taken significant actions related to natural disasters, early warning systems, and mitigating food insecurity to promote global resilience and sustainable development. Adopted in 2015, the Sendai Framework focuses on reducing disaster risks and enhancing resilience. It emphasizes the importance of early warning systems, information sharing, and community engagement to prevent and mitigate the impact of disasters. The framework aims to achieve substantial reductions in disaster mortality, economic losses, and damage to critical infrastructure [xcvi].. Following this, the International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (ISDR) was launched in 2000.[xcvii] It was succeeded by the Sendai Framework and promoted disaster risk reduction globally. It encouraged the development of early warning systems, capacity-building, and knowledge sharing to enhance preparedness and response to disasters.

In addition to these frameworks, the United Nations created the Officer for Disaster Risk Reduction (UNDRR) in 1999, with the role of coordinating international efforts for disaster risk reduction[xcviii]. Moreover, the United Nations Disaster Assessment and Coordination (UNDAC) Teams were created to be deployed to disaster-affected areas to assess the situation, coordinate response efforts, and provide technical expertise[xcix]. These teams assist governments and humanitarian organizations in responding effectively to natural disasters. Published every two years, the UN Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction is also a critical aspect of disaster prevention[c]. This report provides an overview of global disaster risk reduction efforts,

trends in disasters, and recommendations for policy improvements. It promotes knowledge exchange and evidence-based decision-making.

The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), established in 1945[ci] as a specialized agency of the United Nations, has played a vital role in addressing global food security, agriculture, and rural development. Originating in response to the post-World War II food shortages, FAO initially focused on disseminating agricultural information and advocating for policies to improve productivity and reduce hunger. Subsequently, it contributed significantly to the Green Revolution by promoting modern farming techniques and infrastructure development.

The other arm combatting food insecurity in the United Nations is the World Food Programme or WFP. Established in1961[cii], the WFP was created with the primary mission of providing food aid to communities facing emergencies and food insecurity. Born out of the food crises of the early 1960s, WFP initially focused on offering food assistance during conflicts, natural disasters, and crises. The 1980s and 1990s marked a shift towards longer-term development programs, introducing innovative approaches like food-for-work and school feeding initiatives. As the 21st century dawned, WFP's role in responding to humanitarian crises, including conflicts and refugee emergencies, remained pivotal[ciii]. It transitioned to a comprehensive approach to food security, addressing both immediate hunger and underlying causes through interventions encompassing nutrition, livelihoods, and resilience-building. Collaborating closely, FAO and WFP continue their joint efforts to alleviate hunger, promote sustainable agricultural practices, and enhance the well-being of vulnerable communities globally.

Bloc Positions

African States

African countries, given their vulnerability to climate-related disasters and food insecurity, may advocate for a comprehensive approach. Since 1990, droughts and floods have lowered African

countries' GDPs by over 1% total[civ]. They might emphasize the need for robust early warning systems that cater to the region's specific challenges, including droughts, floods, and desertification. Sub-Saharan Africa is also the world's most food insecure region, according to the International Monetary Fund. Intertwining the volatility of food supplies and prices with the lack of resilience to climate change, reliance[cv] on government intervention, and high imports, countries in this continent face many issues when building resilience.

Lastly, almost all 55 African Union Member States do not have fully functional[cvi] multi-hazard early warning systems. With the worsening of climate change, this lack of disaster preparedness could cause a dire situation in the future. Overall, African States in this committee would call for increased international assistance to build resilience in agriculture and strengthen disaster preparedness, with a focus on technology transfer and capacity-building[cvii].

Asia-Pacific States

Countries in the Asia-Pacific region, which experiences a wide range of natural disasters including earthquakes, typhoons, and tsunamis, prioritize regional cooperation. As the most disaster-prone region in the world, last year, they experienced 140 major natural disasters that killed over 7,000 people and affected 62 million others. Overall losses were estimated to be $57 billion[cviii]. Nations in this region emphasize early warnings, with the World Meteorological Organisation Regional Association for Asia committing to the UN's Early Warnings for All[cix] in Asia. These States could also emphasize the importance of disaster-resilient infrastructure and sustainable land-use practices to mitigate the impacts of disasters on food security[cx].

European States

European nations acknowledge the evolving threats posed by climate-driven disasters and their cascading effects on food security. While the European Union has made significant strides in disaster preparedness and risk reduction, continued collaboration is needed to ensure effective early warning systems. The EU already operates on an EU Early Warning system, allowing

member states to contribute to early analysis and action in real-time[cxi]. Europe also emphasizes the importance of sharing expertise and technical assistance with vulnerable regions to enhance resilience and adaptive capacity.

Latin American, South American, and Caribbean States

Representing a region prone to a variety of natural disasters, countries in Latin America and the Caribbean emphasize the need for tailored solutions that account for the diverse challenges they face. Building on local knowledge and community resilience, these nations seek international cooperation to strengthen early warning mechanisms and promote sustainable agricultural practices. Currently, the region has the Disaster Preparedness Programme (DP) in Latin America and the Caribbean, an ECHO program[cxii] that financed initiatives aimed at reducing the population's vulnerability to disasters and to improve adaptive capacities of communities.

Addressing disparities in resources and technology access is also paramount for achieving equitable resilience across the region, as about 40% of homes[cxiii] in Latin America do not have fixed broadband. Lastly, there should be a focus on displacement. South America is confronted with the largest population displacements in history, with more than 6.1 million Venezuelans seeking refuge in other, bordering nations.[cxiv]

North American States

The countries in North America recognize the necessity of robust early warning systems to safeguard lives and infrastructure in the face of escalating climate-driven disasters. Both the US[cxv] and Canada[cxvi] have comprehensive Early Warning Systems. Nations here also advocate for cross-border collaboration to enhance regional resilience, facilitate information exchange, and harmonize disaster response strategies. North American nations also underscore the importance of addressing food insecurity through innovative agricultural practices and social safety nets.[cxvii]

Middle Eastern States

Representing a region marked by water scarcity and vulnerability to climate change, Middle Eastern nations emphasize the integration of early warning systems with water resource management[cxviii]. These countries aim to enhance resilience against droughts, heatwaves, and other environmental stressors that threaten food security. Collaborative efforts in technology transfer, capacity-building, and knowledge-sharing are key to strengthening disaster preparedness and adaptive strategies[cxix].

Please note that these positions are generalized, and individual member countries within each regional bloc may have nuanced perspectives based on their specific circumstances and national interests. In a Model UN setting, delegates should conduct in-depth research on their assigned countries' policies and positions to accurately represent their bloc's stance during debates and negotiations.

Questions a Resolution Must Answer

- How will the resolution improve the reach, accessibility, and reliability of early warning systems for various types of natural disasters?

- What strategies can be implemented to ensure that warnings reach vulnerable populations promptly? How can educational campaigns and training programs be designed to resonate with diverse cultural contexts?

- How can governments, scientific organizations, NGOs, and technology companies collaborate to integrate meteorological, geological, and social data for more accurate and timely warnings?

- How can the resolution promote the development of resilient agricultural practices that can withstand the impacts of natural disasters and climate change? What support mechanisms can be established to aid farmers in adopting these strategies?

- How can the resolution foster global collaboration in sharing best practices, resources, and expertise related to early warning systems and disaster risk reduction?

- Are there mechanisms to provide technical assistance to countries with limited resources?

Suggestions for Further Research

Data-driven Early Warning Systems: Researching advanced technologies, such as satellite imagery, remote sensing, and artificial intelligence, can contribute to the development of data-driven early warning systems. Exploring the integration of real-time data and predictive analytics can enhance the accuracy and timeliness of disaster alerts, enabling more effective preparedness and response strategies.

Vulnerable Populations and Social Equity: Delving into the specific vulnerabilities of marginalized communities and populations in the face of natural disasters can highlight the urgent need for targeted interventions. Research could investigate how early warning systems can be tailored to

address the unique challenges faced by women, children, the elderly, and persons with disabilities, ensuring equitable access to life-saving information and resources.

Climate-resilient Agriculture and Food Systems: Exploring sustainable agricultural practices that can withstand climate-related shocks is critical. Research can delve into climate-resilient crop varieties, agroforestry, and sustainable land management techniques. Examining how such practices enhance food security and mitigate the impacts of extreme weather events can inform agricultural policy and investment strategies.

Cross-border Collaboration: Investigating successful cross-border cooperation in disaster preparedness and food security can offer insights into effective regional approaches. Research can examine collaborative mechanisms for data sharing, resource allocation, and joint response efforts among neighboring nations facing similar challenges.

Community-based Resilience Strategies: Further research can focus on community-led approaches to disaster resilience and food security. Exploring case studies of successful community engagement, local knowledge integration, and capacity-building initiatives can provide insights into effective bottom-up strategies that empower communities to proactively address risks and enhance their adaptive capacity.

Closing Remarks

In closing, as we approach the COP28 Model United Nations Conference, we are reminded of the urgent need for global collaboration and decisive action on critical environmental issues. The topics at hand, 'Tackling Greenwashing and Effective Carbon Offsetting' (Topic A) and 'Natural Disasters, Early Warning Systems, and Mitigating Food Insecurity' (Topic B), underscore the complex interplay between environmental sustainability, social justice, and human well-being.

In addressing 'Greenwashing' and Carbon Offsetting, we are challenged to not only explore the potential of carbon offset projects in mitigating climate change but also to confront the risks of superficial environmental claims that perpetuate complacency and undermine genuine efforts for emissions reduction. We must collectively strive for robust standards, transparent verification, and effective regulation that restore credibility to carbon offsetting initiatives, ensuring they genuinely contribute to our climate goals.

Turning our attention to 'Natural Disasters, Early Warning Systems, and Mitigating Food Insecurity,' we are reminded of the resilience of communities in the face of adversity. While early warning systems have saved countless lives, they demand continuous improvement to reach all those at risk, regardless of location or socioeconomic status. Moreover, the nexus of natural disasters, food insecurity, and climate change requires comprehensive solutions that safeguard vulnerable populations, strengthen agricultural systems, and promote sustainable practices.

As delegates, you hold the responsibility to advocate for policies that transcend borders, advance equity, and safeguard the planet for future generations. The decisions made during this conference will not only shape our understanding of these crucial topics but also contribute to the larger global effort to address the existential challenges of our time.

Bibliography

i https://www.nytimes.com/1988/06/24/us/global-warming-has-begun-expert-tells-senate.html (Shabecoff, 1988)

ii Hirst, 2020) https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/the-history-of-global-climate-change-negotiations/

iii UNFCCC, n.d.) https://unfccc.int/kyoto_protocol

iv (Hunt, 2012) https://theconversation.com/kyoto-protocol-fails-get-ready-for-a-hotter-world-10742#:~:text=The%20Protocol%20was%20in%20fact,USA%20failed%20to%20sign%20up.

v (UNFCCC, n.d.) https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/the-paris-agreement

vi https://www.vox.com/2020/2/27/20994118/carbon-offset-climate-change-net-zero-neutral-emissions

vii https://blog.gitnux.com/greenwashing-statistics/#:~:text=68%25%20of%20US%20executives%20admit,company's%20sustainability%20efforts%20were%20genuine.

viii https://cdm.unfccc.int/about/index.html

ix https://e360.yale.edu/features/is-the-legacy-carbon-credit-market-a-climate-plus-or-just-hype

x https://unfccc.int/topics/land-use/workstreams/redd/what-is-redd#:~:text='REDD'%20stands%20for%20'Reducing,enhancement%20of%20forest%20carbon%20stocks.

xi https://daily.jstor.org/the-paris-agreement-annotated/

xii https://climatepromise.undp.org/news-and-stories/NDCs-nationally-determined-contributions-climate-change-what-you-need-to-know#:~:text=Nationally%20Determined%20Contributions%2C%20or%20NDCs,finance%20to%20support%20these%20efforts.

xiii https://verra.org/programs/verified-carbon-standard/

xiv https://www.goldstandard.org/resources/faqs

xv https://www.vox.com/23817575/carbon-offsets-credits-financialization-ecologi-solutions-scam

xvi https://www.politico.com/video/2023/07/11/united-ceo-most-carbon-offset-programs-are-fraud-990128

xvii https://www.washingtonpost.com/travel/2023/04/17/carbon-offsets-flights-airlines/

xviii https://www.theguardian.com/sustainable-business/2016/aug/20/greenwashing-environmentalism-lies-companies

xix https://www.theclimateclub.co/sustainabilityblog/the-history-of-greenwashing-and-its-modern-evolution

xx https://www.epa.gov/vw/learn-about-volkswagen-violations

xxi https://www.dailydot.com/irl/starbucks-greenwashing-reusable-cup/

xxii https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2023/jan/18/revealed-forest-carbon-offsets-biggest-provider-worthless-verra-aoe

xxiii https://www.floridamuseum.ufl.edu/earth-systems/blog/tell-me-about-offset-credits-additionality/#:~:text=Additionality%20is%20a%20common%20tool,for%20more%20climate%2Dfriendly%20choices.

xxiv https://www.cleantech.com/between-a-rock-and-hard-place-commercializing-co2-through-mineralization/

xxv https://www.wri.org/insights/what-you-need-know-about-article-6-paris-agreement

xxvi https://nicholasinstitute.duke.edu/climate/policydesign/offsetseries4

xxvii https://www.cnn.com/cnn-underscored/home/what-is-greenwashing

xxviii https://www.packagingdigest.com/smart-packaging/greenwashing-affects-98-percent-products-says-terrachoice#:~:text=Article%2DGreenwashing%20affects%2098%2Dpercent%20of%20products%2C%20says%20Terra Choice&text=Your%20eyes%20aren't%20deceiving,but%20the%20labels%20might%20be.

xxix https://www.vegetariantimes.com/news/greenwashing-survey/

xxx https://www.axios.com/executives-greenwashing-sustainability-progress-7fe53ea4-dd39-4236-89b1-7620dc374d49.html

xxxi https://hbr.org/2022/07/how-greenwashing-affects-the-bottom-line

xxxii https://www.lse.ac.uk/granthaminstitute/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/Strengthening-MRV-standards-for-greenhouse-gas-removals-.pdf

xxxiii https://policy.friendsoftheearth.uk/insight/dangerous-distraction-offsetting-con

xxxiv https://sgp.fas.org/crs/misc/RL34560.pdf

xxxv https://climateanalytics.org/media/why_offsets_are_not_a_viable_alternative_to_cutting_emissions.pdf

xxxvi https://www.jstor.org/stable/23208292

xxxvii https://www.unep.org/news-and-stories/story/carbon-offsets-are-not-our-get-out-jail-free-card

xxxviii https://unfccc.int/process/the-paris-agreement/cooperative-implementation

xxxix https://www.climatechangenews.com/2023/05/25/un-advises-against-carbon-offsets-for-carbon-removal-technologies/

xl https://unfccc.int/climate-action/united-nations-carbon-offset-platform#:~:text=A%20short%20answer%20would%20be,simply%20support%20action%20on%20climate.

xli https://offset.climateneutralnow.org/AllProjects

xlii https://unfccc.int/climate-neutral-now

xliii https://www.unepfi.org/

xliv https://unglobalcompact.org/

xlv https://www.unep.org/news-and-stories/story/carbon-offsets-are-not-our-get-out-jail-free-card

xlvi https://climatechampions.unfccc.int/africa-carbon-markets-initiative/

xlvii https://www.bbc.com/future/article/20230307-what-it-really-takes-for-business-to-get-to-net-zero

xlviii https://www.reccessary.com/en/research/southeast-asian-countries-ambitions-for-voluntary-carbon-market

xlix https://esgclarity.com/korea-leads-on-greenwashing-crackdown-in-asia/

l https://climate.ec.europa.eu/eu-action/eu-emissions-trading-system-eu-ets_en

li https://www.instituteforenergyresearch.org/international-issues/reality-is-hitting-europes-net-zero-plans-but-biden-plows-ahead-in-the-united-states/#:~:text=for%20Energy%20Research.-,Reality%20is%20Hitting%20Europe's%20Net%20Zero%20Plans%20but,Ahead%20in%20the%20United%20States&text=Europe's%20plan%20to%20slash%20carbon,is%20becoming%20clearer%20to%20Europeans.

lii https://www.whitecase.com/insight-alert/eu-proposes-green-claims-directive-combat-greenwashing

liii https://www.whitecase.com/publications/insight/latin-america-focus-fall-2022-growth-carbon-markets

liv https://www.brookings.edu/articles/why-the-us-should-establish-a-carbon-price-either-through-reconciliation-or-other-legislation/

lv https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2023/may/04/federal-trade-commission-industry-recycle-regulation

lvi https://www.vision2030.gov.sa/v2030/vrps/pif/

lvii https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/10/26/green-or-greenwashing-saudi-arabias-climate-change-pledges

lviii https://www.wfpusa.org/articles/14-facts-climate-disasters-hunger/

lix https://www.wfpusa.org/articles/14-facts-climate-disasters-hunger/

lx https://www.thezebra.com/resources/research/natural-disaster-statistics/

lxi https://ourworldindata.org/natural-disasters

lxii https://www.thezebra.com/resources/research/natural-disaster-statistics/

lxiii https://www.wfpusa.org/articles/14-facts-climate-disasters-hunger/

lxiv https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/page/early-warnings-all-initiative#:~:text=Early%20warning%20systems%20have%20helped,states%20and%20least%20developed%20countries.

lxv ypical solutions to each of the threats posed by climate change are siloed, meaning that efforts to tackle the problem as a whole are usually lacklustre

lxvi https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7121757/

lxvii In the 19th century, the Industrial Revolution brought about urbanization and population growth, increasing vulnerability to disasters

lxviii https://www.theweathernetwork.com/en/news/weather/severe/this-day-in-weather-history-august-18-1931-yangtze-river-peaks-in-china

lxix https://daily.jstor.org/the-tragedy-at-buffalo-creek/

lxx http://www.undrr.org/terminology/disaster-risk-reduction

lxxi EWS were primarily informal, relying on local observations, folklore, and even animal behavior to predict impending disasters.

lxxii https://www.electronic-sirens.com/history-early-warning-emergency-notification-systems/

lxxiii https://www.electronic-sirens.com/history-early-warning-emergency-notification-systems/

lxxiv https://www.electronic-sirens.com/history-early-warning-emergency-notification-systems/

lxxv https://cafod.org.uk/news/international-news/colonialism-and-food

lxxvi https://cafod.org.uk/news/international-news/colonialism-and-food

lxxvii https://www.fao.org/state-of-food-security-nutrition/2021/en/

lxxviii https://sdgs.un.org/partnerships/eu-platform-food-losses-and-food-waste-flw-working-together-fight-food-waste

lxxix https://www.fao.org/sustainable-development-goals/indicators/211/en/

lxxx https://climatechange.chicago.gov/climate-impacts/climate-impacts-agriculture-and-food-supply

lxxxi https://www.ipcc.ch/srccl/chapter/chapter-5/

lxxxii https://www.usglc.org/blog/climate-change-and-the-developing-world-a-disproportionate-impact/#:~:text=Economic%20Development%3A%20The%20World%20Bank,people%20into%20poverty%20each%20year.

lxxxiii https://desapublications.un.org/file/745/download

lxxxiv https://www.undrr.org/news/srsg-mizutoris-remarks-early-warnings-small-island-developing-states-bridging-capacity-gap

lxxxv https://unctad.org/news/heavily-indebted-small-islands-resilience-building-best-antidote

lxxxvi https://www.iea.org/news/international-collaboration-gap-threatens-to-undermine-climate-progress-and-delay-net-zero-by-decades

lxxxvii https://www.fao.org/3/i5188e/I5188E.pdf

lxxxviii https://www.eea.europa.eu/help/faq/what-is-the-difference-between#:~:text=In%20essence%2C%20adaptation%20can%20be,(GHG)%20into%20the%20atmosphere.

lxxxix https://www.eea.europa.eu/help/faq/what-is-the-difference-between#:~:text=In%20essence%2C%20adaptation%20can%20be,(GHG)%20into%20the%20atmosphere.

xc https://whyy.org/articles/as-flood-alerts-lit-up-phones-did-warning-fatigue-set-in/

xci https://phys.org/news/2019-02-earthquake-early-warning-false-alarms-worth.html

xcii https://www.nytimes.com/2022/03/03/nyregion/severe-weather-alerts-languages-ida.html

xciii https://www.nytimes.com/2017/04/08/us/dallas-emergency-sirens-hacking.html

xciv https://www.csoonline.com/article/566729/australian-emergency-warning-system-hacked-thousands-receive-alerts.html

xcv https://www.nytimes.com/2017/04/08/us/dallas-emergency-sirens-hacking.html

xcvi http://www.undrr.org/publication/sendai-framework-disaster-risk-reduction-2015-2030#:~:text=The%20Sendai%20Framework%20for%20Disaster,Investing%20in%20disaster%20reduction%20for

xcvii https://www.unisdr.org/2005/mdgs-drr/link-mdg-drr.htm

xcviii http://www.undrr.org/our-work/history

xcix https://www.dsb.no/lover/risiko-sarbarhet-og-beredskap/artikler/internasjonalt/humanitarian-support/un-disaster-assessment-and-coordination-undac/#:~:text=UNDAC%20was%20created%20in%201993,the%20site%20of%20the%20emergency.

c http://www.undrr.org/gar

ci https://www.fao.org/about/en/

cii https://www.wfp.org/history#:~:text=Created%20in%201961%20(at%20the,the%20experiment%20proves%20its%20worth.

ciii https://www.wfp.org/fight-famine

civ https://www.ifc.org/en/types/insights-reports/2022/adapting-to-natural-disasters-in-africa#:~:text=Key%20Findings,0.7%20percent%20and%200.4%20percent.

cv https://www.imf.org/en/Blogs/Articles/2022/09/14/how-africa-can-escape-chronic-food-insecurity-amid-climate-change

cvi https://au.int/en/pressreleases/20230730/shaping-future-africas-drive-multi-hazard-early-warning-systems#:~:text=Currently%2C%20almost%20all%2055%20African,disaster%20risk%20management%20systems%20inadequate.

cvii https://unfccc.int/topics/capacity-building/workstreams/capacity-building-portal/complementary-resources/capacity-building-portal-africa

cviii https://apnews.com/article/disasters-asia-pacific-climate-change-3b4c435737f0d221860dc0d7c1ca0672#:~:text=The%20Asia%2DPacific%20region%20experienced,Losses%20amounted%20to%20%2457.3%20billion.

cix https://public.wmo.int/en/media/news/regional-conference-commits-early-warnings-all-asia

cx https://reliefweb.int/report/world/disaster-resilient-infrastructure-unlocking-opportunities-asia-and-pacific-april-2022

cxi https://civil-protection-humanitarian-aid.ec.europa.eu/what/civil-protection/european-early-warning-and-information-systems_en#:~:text=The%20EU%20supports%20the%20early,early%20assessment%20of%20the%20event.

cxii https://www.preventionweb.net/disaster-preparedness-programme-dp-latin-america-and-caribbean

cxiii https://www.bloomberg.com/opinion/articles/2023-06-07/ai-threatens-to-widen-latin-america-s-digital-divide#:~:text=While%20smartphone%20adoption%20in%20the,work%20or%20do%20online%20transactions.

cxiv https://civil-protection-humanitarian-aid.ec.europa.eu/where/latin-america-and-caribbean/south-america_en

cxv S a

cxvi https://www.osc.ca/en/industry/companies/mergers-and-acquisitions/early-warning-system-and-alternative-monthly-reporting-system

cxvii https://www.americanprogress.org/article/the-united-states-can-end-hunger-and-food-insecurity-for-millions-of-people/

cxviii https://news.mit.edu/2023/us-uae-governments-highlight-early-warning-system-climate-resilience-0508

cxix https://openjournals.uwaterloo.ca/index.php/JoCI/article/download/4798/5492/22811